IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

WRIT PETITION NO : 13217 of 2005

Between:

1 C.Sanjeevarayudu, S/o. Sanjeevakondaiah,

R/o Y.S.Nagar, Kadapa Town & District.
2 V.Suresh Kumar, S/o. Subbaramaiah,

R/o 8/16-1, Prakash Nagar, Chinna Chowk, Kadapa District.
3 C.Sanjeeva Kumar, S/o. C.Sanjeevarayudu,

R/o Y.S.Nagar, Kadapa Town & District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Superintendent of Police,

Kadapa District, Kadapa.
2 The Circle Inspector,

S.H.O, I Town P.S.,

Kadapa Town & District.
3 The Station House Officer,

I Town Police Station,

Kadapa Town & District.
4 M.Nagabhushanam,

S/o late M.Subramanyam,
5 M.Sudhakar Rao,

S/o late M.Subramanyam,
6 M.Venkata Krishna Murthy,

S/o late M.Subramanyam,

(Respondents 4 to 6 are r/o 17/288,

B.K.M.Street, Kadapa Town & District).

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.1 to 3 as illegal, arbitrary and irrational Art.14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India, and consequently direct the respondents in harassing the petitioner for settlement of the dispute relating to the property which is subject of civil litigation is illegal arbitrary unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents 1 to 3 not to harass the petitioners in any manner and interfere in matters which are subject matter of civil litigation.

Counsel for the Petitioners: Mr. MANJUNATH ALLUR
Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 3: GP FOR HOME
Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 to 6 : None appeared.

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following :

ORDER:

All the allegations of illegal conduct, of acting in excess and abuse of power and authority levelled by the petitioners against the respondents 1 to 3 have been clearly and unequivocally denied in the counter-affidavit filed by the 2nd respondent.

In view of the said denial, no case is made out for any relief.

The Writ Petition is dismissed.

_____

(GODA RAGHURAM,J)

29th June, 2005.

Tsy

To

1 The Superintendent of Police,

Kadapa District, Kadapa.

2 The Circle Inspector,

S.H.O, I Town P.S.,

Kadapa Town & District.

3 The Station House Officer,

I Town Police Station,

Kadapa Town & District.

4 Two CCs to the G.P for Home,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T)

5 Two CD copies.